

A F S A · M A Y 2 0 2 6

# Collections in a Digital Age

---

*From volume to intelligence —  
Technology without execution fails.*

Ofer Alon, CPA, MBA

Nexa Optimum Solutions

---

THE THESIS

# “A Formula 1 engine in a Toyota Camry still loses the race.”

---

*Technology without execution destroys value.*

Every collections leader has heard the AI pitch. Few have the people, process, and data discipline to make it pay. This hour is about what closes that gap — and where the money is hiding while it's open.



THE ENGINE

AI · ML · Big Data  
Digital channels  
Automation

---

THE CHASSIS

People · Strategy  
Training · Execution  
Customer trust

THE 30-YEAR ARC

# How collections technology evolved



1990-2005

2005-2012

2010-2018

2012-2020

2018-2026

**Telephone**

**Dialers**

**Internet & Email**

**Mobile & SMS**

**AI + Big Data**

*The channels keep multiplying. The hard part — getting humans, data, and machines to act in concert — hasn't changed.*

# Tech got faster. The real shift is data intelligence.



## Better data = better decisions

Quality and speed of decisioning is now the bottleneck — not channel capacity.



## New behavioral signals

Social, device, and digital exhaust create signal we never had access to before.



## Beyond human capacity

People aren't built to find correlation across millions of accounts. Models are.

PART II

---

# Where the money is leaking.

*A small case study, real numbers.*

# The math: a mid-size operation

*Base assumptions for the leakage estimates that follow:*



**25**

**collectors**

*front-line FTEs*



**\$16**

**/hour**

*fully loaded labor*



**250**

**working days**

*annualized basis*



**\$100–250M**

**portfolio**

*consumer / auto receivables*

*Focus: operational leakage, not collections strategy.*

# Wrong-time calling

ESTIMATED ANNUAL LOSS

# \$400K

*25,000 wasted hours / year, team-wide*

25 collectors × \$16/hr × 4 hrs/day × 250 days

= \$400,000 / 25,000 hours

## Where the dead time goes



**4 unproductive hours / day**

Per agent. Most of it concentrated in two windows: 8–11 AM and 1–3 PM.



**Worst days of the week**

Monday morning and Thursday/Friday afternoon — answer rates collapse.



**Plus: ignored work-hour calls**

~30% of dial attempts hit consumers who can't pick up at work.

Secondary loss from missed work-hour contacts: \$300K – \$600K / year

# Customer fatigue & note-taking drag

---

**10%**

conversion drop

---

## Excess messaging fatigues consumers

Over-contacted accounts pay less, complain more, and churn the consent you spent money to earn. The dialer rewards activity; the customer punishes it.

**3,700**

hours / year

---

## Customer-note overhead

1–2 hours per agent per day spent reading and writing customer notes in legacy LMS screens. Across 25 collectors, ~3,700 hours never spent on a contact attempt. (McKinsey, Going Digital in Collections.)

# The skill gap

---

~60%

---

*of collection staff lack a post-secondary credential.*

Buying tools they can't use is a CapEx line item, not a strategy. The competitive moat is training — the operations that win invest in the human side as aggressively as in the platform.



Up to

25%

**performance hit**

---

When staff aren't equipped to interpret model outputs, the lift is silently absorbed by execution losses.

*Harvard Business School research*

# What data intelligence actually does



## Predict payment behavior

Score every account on probability and timing of payment. AI-driven voice and SMS optimize the next contact rather than the next dial.



## Optimize contact timing

Replace static dial schedules with consumer-specific windows learned from response history and digital signal.



## Smarter skip tracing

Combine alternative data sources with traditional credit signal to surface reachability that scoring alone misses.



## Personalized, lower-friction outreach

Tone, channel, and message learned per consumer. Reduce the humiliation tax that drives complaints and disputes.

# The risks you inherit with AI



## Reg F mis-application

Bad input data ripples into wrong validation notices, wrong addresses, wrong call counts. No regulator cares that the model meant well.



## Discrimination & disparate impact

Models trained on historical data can encode historical bias. Any deployment needs a fair-lending review built in, not bolted on.



## Over-contact & tone errors

Automation amplifies whatever you point it at. Bad scripts at scale generate complaints at scale.



## Hallucinated or stale data

Generative components fabricate. Pipelines drift. Trust requires monitoring, not a one-time deployment.

# Why a specialist agency wins

*Collections is a sole-focus discipline for a specialist. For a creditor, it's one of many. The math of focus shows up in the P&L.*



## Sole focus, deeper investment

A specialist amortizes platform, model, and compliance investment across many creditors. You can't match that ratio internally.



## Lower unit cost, higher specialization

Per-account economics improve as the agency tunes against a much larger and more diverse training set.



## Compliance scale & faster iteration

Reg F, TCPA, state rules — interpreted once and operationalized across the book. Changes ship in days, not quarters.

T H E T A K E A W A Y

**Technology + Data + People = *Execution***

---

*And execution drives performance.*

The next decade rewards organizations that pair AI with the people, training, and partnerships to actually run it.  
Pick the engine — but build the chassis to match.

---

**Thank you. Questions?**